KUTAK ROCK LLP

THE THREE SISTERS BUILDING 214 WEST DICKSON STREET

FAYETTEVILLE, ARKANSAS 72701-5221

479-973-4200 FACSIMILE 479-973-0007

www.kutakrock.com

MICHAEL BOND michael.bond@kutakrock.com (479) 973-4200

LITTLE ROCK OFFICE

SUITE 2000

124 WEST CAPITOL AVENUE

LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS 72201-3706

501-975-3000

November 29, 2007

ATLANTA
CHICAGO
DENVER
DES MOINES
IRVINE
KANSAS CITY
LITTLE ROCK
LOS ANGELES
OKLAHOMA CITY
OMAHA
RICHMOND
SCOTTSDALE
WASHINGTON
WICHITA

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Mr. David M. Riggs RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON & LEWIS 502 West 6th Street P.O. Box 1046 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101

Re: State of Oklahoma, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al.

U.S.D.C. Northern District of Oklahoma Case No. 4:05-CV-0329-GKF-SAJ

Dear Mr. Riggs:

Please find enclosed herewith, subpoenas directed to each of the nine experts who filed affidavits containing expert opinions in support of the State's Preliminary Injunction Motion. These subpoenas seek the production of documents from these experts. Please confirm that you are authorized to accept service of these subpoenas on behalf of these experts. If you are unable to confirm authority to accept service by the close of business on Friday, November 30, 2007, we will proceed with formal service of process on each of these experts.

To the extent these subpoenas request the production of documents also requested in the November 15, 2007 Requests for Production served upon the State by Tyson Foods, Inc. we are not asking the State and its experts to produce these documents twice. These subpoenas are being served out of an abundance of caution in hopes of avoiding unnecessary delays in the production of documents and procedural arguments as to whether documents in the possession of the State's experts are within the State's custody and control for purposes of Rule 34.

Finally, please be advised that these subpoenas do not seek the production of prior drafts of the affidavits submitted by these experts. The defendants are willing to enter into a stipulation of non-discoverability as to drafts of expert affidavits and reports if the State is still interested in such a stipulation. The defendants, however, are not interested in the State's proposed stipulation of non-discoverability as to communications with experts.

EXHIBIT 3

KUTAK ROCK LLP

Mr. David M. Riggs November 29, 2007 Page 2

Thank you for your continued cooperation in this matter. Please feel free to give me or Robert George a call at 479-973-4200 should you have any questions.

Cordially,

Michael R. Bond

Enclosures

cc: Counsel of Record (via e mail)